second laser diode has ray fans extending across the full aperture of said lens, and

diffractive means carried by said single element objective lens, said diffractive means providing sufficient aspheric surface power for spherical aberration correction and providing correction for spherochromatism.

7. (amended) The apparatus of claim 6 wherein said first surface is located closer to said disk support and drive means than said second surface and [further comprising] said diffractive means is carried by said second surface[, said diffractive means providing sufficient aspheric surface power for spherical aberration correction and providing correction for spherochromatism].

## REMARKS

Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 10 remain in the application. Claims 5, 9, 11 and 12 have been cancelled.

With respect to the objection made by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 112 to the phrase "cover plate" appearing at lines 5 and 6 of claim 1 and in lines 5 and 6 of claim 6, applicants have substituted the phrase --- disk substrate --. As used in the specification and claims as originally filed, applicants clearly used the phrase "cover plate" synonymously with the disk substrate. The phrase "disk substrate" is more commonly used in the art and applicants agree that term is more appropriately used in claims 1 and 6.

Applicants note the allowable subject matter indicated in the Office action. Claim 1 has been amended to include the diffractive means but without specifying on which of the lens surfaces the diffractive means is carried. Applicants believe that claim 1 as amended fairly includes the allowable subject matter indicated in the Examiner's statement of allowable subject matter. Claims 2-4 have simply been amended to avoid repetition of the language describing the function of the diffractive means now included in amended claim 1.

6.

Claim 6 has similarly been amended to include the concept of the diffractive means from claim 7, and claim 7 has been amended somewhat to avoid repetition of the language now included in amended claim 6. Claims 8 and 10 remain in the application without amendment.

Applicants wish to call the Examiner's attention to the enclosed Information Disclosure Statement submitted simultaneously with this amendment. The enclosed Information Disclosure Statement transmits for review the search results in the corresponding PCT application, which search was conducted by the European Patent Office. The undersigned submits that, for reasons stated in the attached Information Disclosure Statement, the claims as amended hereby are patentably distinct over the prior art cited by the EPO.

Applicants also wish to call to the Examiner's attention that twelve sheets of formal drawings were submitted to the Patent Office under date of July 8, 1999. The return postcard

25

26

1

indicates that the Patent Office received the formal drawings on July 12, 1999. However, applicants are enclosing another set of twelve sheets of formal drawings.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce H. Johnson Reg. No. 24,987

Attorney for applicants

ECKHOFF, HOPPE, SLICK, MITCHELL & ANDERSON Four Embarcadero Center #760 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone (415) 391-7160 8998.105



t hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope. addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on

Jan. 25, 2000 Reg. No. 24 982 of Eckhoff, Hoppe, Slick, Mitchell & Anderson